	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/913,954	JACOBS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sally A Sakelaris	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after final</u>		
(1) <u>Sally A Sakelaris</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gary Tanigawa</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Enablement rejection standing on 1-10 and 15-20		
Claims discussed: 1 and 2		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Applicant's representative was apprised of allowable subject matter following a suggested examiner's amendment that added to claims 1 and 2 a recitation of "at least one pathological mutation in the coding region in another"		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)